

The Centre for Australian Weather and Climate Research

A partnership between CSIRO and the Bureau of Meteorology



VicCI Science Day

Date 12th February 2015, 9.30am to 5.30pm

Location BoM Docklands, 700 Collins street, 6th Floor, Meeting room 1-2

Registration is free, an email should be sent to Val Jemmeson

Registration (v.jemmeson@bom.gov.au)

Agenda

| Time | Presenter and content | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| 9.00-9.30: | Coffee on arrival (provided) | | | |
| 9.30-9.50: Meeting introduction | Graham Hawke (Bureau of Meteorology, Deputy Director Environment and Research Division) | | | |
| | Geoff Steendam (Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning – DELWP, Victoria) | | | |
| Chair: B. Timbal | | | | |
| | Richard Seager (LDEO, USA, recorded presentation) | | | |
| 9.50 – 12.00 | | | | |
| | Cause and Predictability of the 2011-14 California Drought | | | |
| | Methodology: ensemble of SST forced simulation | | | |
| Theme 2 | 2) Results and relevance to water manager in California | | | |
| | 3) Discussion: lesson for South-Eastern Australia & avenue for future collaboration | | | |
| | 9.50-10.20 | | | |
| | Hanh Nguyen (Bureau of Meteorology, E&R Division, VicCI) | | | |
| Improved | | | | |
| understanding | Longitudinal computation of indices of the Mean Meridional Circulation: particularities | | | |
| of | of the Australian sector | | | |
| past climate | 1) Outlining newly developed methods: streamfunction and other indices | | | |
| variability | 2) Results for different longitude bands | | | |
| and | 3) Implications and future work | | | |
| change | 10.20-10.40 | | | |
| | Benjamin Henley (Melbourne University, Australia) | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Utilising palaeoclimate data in water resource planning | | | |
| | 1) Extending the observed record with rainfall and streamflow reconstructions | | | |
| Chair: | 2) Tracking and reconstructing the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation | | | |
| | 3) Proposed ARC Linkage Project incorporating palaeoclimate and climate model | | | |
| B. Timbal | data into water supply | | | |
| | 10.40-11.00 | | | |

| | Chris Lucas (Bureau of Meteorology, E&R Division, VicCl) | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------|--|
| | Attribution of observed tropical expansion | | |
| | Review of evidence s of tropical expansion | | |
| | 2) Investigations of likely forcings | | |
| | 3) Implications and future work | | |
| | 3) Implications and rature work | 11.00-11.20 | |
| | Matt England (UNSW, Sydney, Australia) | | |
| | | | |
| | Oceanic circulation role in the ongoing warming hiatus | | |
| | Decadal variability of wind driven ocean circulation | | |
| | 2) Impact on global temperature, implication for the decades ahead | | |
| | 3) Implications (if any) for on-going tropical expansion | 11 20 11 40 | |
| | Open discussion: Improved understanding of past climate variability and ch | 11.20-11.40 | |
| | 1) What have we learnt? | ialige | |
| | 2) Where are the key scientific issues? | | |
| | 3) What are the implications for VicCl workplans? | | |
| | | 11.40-12.00 | |
| | | | |
| 12.00-13.00: | Lunch | | |
| | Maria Flaturius (CCIDO Land and Mateurila sekin MaCI) | | |
| 13.00- 15.00 | Marie Ekström (CSIRO, Land and Water flagship, VicCI) | | |
| 13.00-13.00 | Moving to very fine resolution downscaling, motivation and hesitation. | | |
| | Why pursue fine resolution models –what added information is gair | ned? | |
| Theme 3 | 2) What are the main challenges going to fine resolution? | | |
| | 3) Relevance of findings in a climate change context? | | |
| | | 13.00-13.20 | |
| Improved | Aurora Bell (Bureau of Meteorology, Services branch) | | |
| understanding of | | | |
| future climate and | High resolution modelling: insight from the forecast demonstration project | | |
| associated risks to | 1) Convective rainfall event in high resolution models –what does it lo | oks like? | |
| water resources | 2) Verification, validation of these events | | |
| | 3) Relevance of findings in a climate change context? | 13.20-13.40 | |
| | Nick Potter (CSIRO, Land and Water flagship, VicCI) | 15.20-15.40 | |
| | Wick Potter (CSINO, Land and Water Hagship, Vicci) | | |
| | Using information about climate change in real word applications | | |
| Chair: | The downscaling concept | | |
| | 2) Bias correction | | |
| M. Ekström | 3) Case study – first results | | |
| | | 13.40-14.00 | |
| | Bertrand Timbal (Bureau of Meteorology, E&R Division, VicCI) | | |
| | Can we circumnavigate the hydrological model for stream flow projections | , | |
| | Outlining method concept and demonstrate skill | <u>r</u> | |
| | Strength/weaknesses of method in a climate change context | | |
| | 3) Main purpose (added value to existing resources) | | |
| | o, man parpose (added raise to emeting researces) | 14.00-14.20 | |
| | Jai Vaze (CSIRO, Land and Water flagship) | | |
| | | | |
| | Introducing the AWRA model – a method for regional streamflow projection | ns? | |
| | 1) What is AWRA (purpose)? | | |
| | 2) Strength/weaknesses versus other hydrological models | | |
| | 3) Can AWRA be used in a climate change context? | | |

| | | 14.20-14.40 | |
|-------------------|--|------------------|--|
| | Open discussion: Improved understanding of future climate and associated risks to | | |
| | water resources | | |
| | 1) What have we learnt? | | |
| | 2) Where are the key scientific issues? | | |
| | 3) What are the implications for VicCl workplans? | | |
| | , | 14.40-15.00 | |
| | | 2.1.10 20.00 | |
| 15.00-15.30: | Coffee break (provided) | | |
| 13.00 13.30. | Conce break (provided) | | |
| | Christine Chung (Bureau of Meteorology, E&R Division) | | |
| 15.30- 17.30 | | | |
| 15.50 17.50 | ENSO and climate change: what to expect? | | |
| | 1) CMIP5 projections of ENSO | | |
| | 2) ENSO impact on Australia: how does it work? | | |
| | 3) Shall we expect ENSO impact to Australia to change? | | |
| Theme 1 | 3) Shall we expect this impact to Australia to change: | 15.30-15.50 | |
| rneme 1 | For Dalling (Domain of Mathematical EQD Division (VigCl) | 15.30-15.50 | |
| | Eun-Pa Lim (Bureau of Meteorology, E&R Division, VicCI) | | |
| Improved seasonal | Discrete regime into a second control that and alched converte at the second la | . Nine of | |
| prediction | Disentangling inter-annual variability and global warming: the case of La 2010-11 | <u>i Nina Oj</u> | |
| prediction | | | |
| | 1) Interplay between different modes of climate variability | | |
| | 2) Role of background SST trends | | |
| | 3) Lesson learn and way forward | | |
| | | 15.50-16.10 | |
| | Jing-Jia Luo (Bureau of Meteorology, E&R Division, VicCl) | | |
| Chair: | and the second s | | |
| Chair: | Multi-year predictions: | | |
| | Existing methodology and modelling tool | | |
| H. Hendon | 2) Results and implications for water management | | |
| | 3) Lesson learn and way forward | | |
| | | 16.10-16.30 | |
| | Harry Hendon (Bureau of Meteorology, E&R Division, VicCI) | | |
| | | | |
| | Decadal variability of Predictability: a curse or a blessing? | | |
| | 1) Validation of POAMA | | |
| | 2) Results and understanding | | |
| | 3) Lesson learn and way forward | | |
| | | 16.30-16.50 | |
| | Scott Power (Bureau of Meteorology, E&R Division) | | |
| | | | |
| | Decadal variability: understanding and physical mechanisms | | |
| | 1) What do we know of the climate system on decadal timescale | | |
| | What are the oceanic processes driving decadal variability | | |
| | 3) Are decadal predictions are dream? | | |
| | | 16.50-17.10 | |
| | Open discussion: Improved understanding of future climate and associat | ed risks to | |
| | water resources | | |
| | 1) What have we learnt? | | |
| | 2) Where are the key scientific issues? | | |
| | 3) What are the implications for VicCl workplans? | | |
| | | 17.10-17.30 | |