

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

## From diurnal variability to landatmosphere interactions: Implications for high resolution modeling

L. Ruby Leung Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

CAWCR 9th Annual Workshop Bureau of Meteorology, Melbourne, Australia 19-22 October 2015





Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

2

#### Atmosphere

**3D Navier Stokes equation** + physical processes (e.g., moist processes, turbulence)



### Effects of model resolution on land

#### processes





No systematic skill difference between 100km and 25km resolution, but lowest RMSE at 1km resolution

(Singh et al. 2015 WRR)

# Not all processes are better simulated with increasing resolution



No skill difference in groundwater table depth among resolutions due to the simple parameterization and missing processes (e.g., lateral flow)



But sensitivity of the water balance to resolution is indicative of scale variance issues due to subgrid parameterizations and/or spatial structure

# A subbasin representation can improve model scalability



Grid-based representation (CLM) Subbasin-based representation (SCLM)



(Tesfa et al. 2014 JGR) (Tesfa et al. 2014 GMD)



Simulations are less sensitive to model resolution in the subbasin representation than the grid representation

- Rainfall / snowfall ratio
- Topographic parameter for runoff

 Spatial structure that takes advantage of the emergent patterns and scaling properties of atmospheric, hydrologic, and vegetation processes may improve model scalability

# Different shades of land-atmosphere interactions



- Strength of land-atmosphere interactions (soil moisture precipitation feedback) is different in:
  - Energy vs. moisture limited regimes
  - Atmosphere vs. land controlled precipitation regimes



(Findell and Eltahir 2003 JHM)

### **Dynamical convergence: local** precipitation recycling



Decreasing E/P over land with resolution and convergence at ~50km resolution



(Demory et al. 2014 CD)

### **Dynamical convergence: eddy-driven jet**



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

- Eddy-driven jet stream dynamically converged at ~50km resolution → atmospheric rivers that transport moisture from ocean to land
- Model diffusivity approaches the asymptotic effective diffusivity of the Batchelor turbulence



ΡV



## Land-atmosphere feedback changes sign in convection permitting simulations





Pacific Northwest

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

# Mesoscale organized convection may represent an even bigger challenge





(Laing and Fritsch 1997 QJRMS)

- Mesoscale organized convection is a ubiquitous mechanism for generating large rain amounts in many regions worldwide
- Model limitations in simulating convection are linked to biases in diurnal cycle of rainfall, surface temperature, landatmosphere interactions, etc.



X - ARM Southern Great Plains Site

#### Scale-aware parameterization (Grell and Freitas, 2014, ACP)

- Stochastic approach from Grell and Devenyi, 2002.
- Scale aware by adapting the Arakawa et al approach (2011).
  - Arakawa et al (2011): developed a relation between vertical convective eddy transport and convective updraft/downdraft fraction s:

$$\rho \overline{w\psi} = (1 - \sigma)^2 M_c (\psi_c - \overline{\psi}) \text{ with } M_c \equiv \rho \sigma w_c$$

- $\circ$  GF:  $\sigma$  is the area covered by active updraft and downdraft plume.
- GF closure uses a simple relationship between  $\sigma$  and the entrainment rate (related to radius of plume).
- Transitions to precipitating shallow scheme as grid spacing decreases.
  - At very high resolution (dx < 3km) parameterized convection becomes much shallower – cloud tops near 800 mb (down from 200-300 mb).
  - Temperature & moisture tendencies decrease as resolution increases.

oudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

## Effects of model resolution on summer precipitation



- Smaller JJA mean precipitation bias at 4 km than 12 km
- Smaller sensitivity to resolution using the GF scale-aware parameterization



#### **Diurnal precipitation in the Great Plains**



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965



### **Diurnal precipitation in monsoon region**



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965









### Land-atmosphere coupling strength



- Changing soil moisture alters the partitioning of SH and LH (EF = LH / (SH + LH))
- Changing EF alters PBL height, cloud top height, cloud water content, and temperature
- Stronger relationships or coupling at 4 km than 12 km resolutions



### **GF reduces coupling strength sensitivity** to resolution

Slightly more comparable coupling strength between 4 km and 12 km when the scale-aware cumulus (GF) scheme is used

Pacific Northwest

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965



# Afternoon rainfall occurs preferentially over dry soil



- Soil moisture from ASCAT and AMSR-E; precip from CMORPH
- Areas over mountains and tropical forest are excluded



### **Diurnal differences in wet vs dry regimes**



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965



Red: SM 0-40cm (mm) Blue: PRECIP (mm/hr) Green: PBL (m)

- Rainfall peaks in afternoon in both wet and dry regimes at 12km resolution
- Rainfall peaks in afternoon in dry regime and at night in wet regime at 4km resolution

### **Convection Permitting Simulations in a Global Variable Resolution Modeling Framework**



- MPAS (Model for Prediction Across Scales) with WSM6 microphysics and the scale-aware Grell-Freitas convection scheme
- Variable resolution forecast for 0 UTC 18 May – 12 UTC 21 May 2013



3-50km Cell DIST. (km)

Source: Bill Skamarock

## Partitioning between convective and resolved precipitation



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

MPAS 50-3 km mesh, Grell-Freitas convection scheme 3 day 12h forecast valid at 2013-05-21\_12:00

> Explicit precipitation (resolved on the mesh)

------ Mesh spacing (4, 8, 12, 20, 30 40 km)

1 2 4 8 1025 5 102040 Accumulated precipitation (mm)

Convective precipitation (from the convection scheme)

GF convection scheme gradually turns off as mesh spacing transitions to convectionpermitting scales.







Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

#### **Atmosphere**

#### **3D Navier Stokes equation** + physical processes (e.g., moist processes, turbulence)



### **Summary and discussion**



- Land surface processes are strongly influenced by small scale heterogeneities in surface properties
  - LSMs can benefit from high resolution modeling if the subgrid parameterizations account for the dominant processes
  - Impacts of resolution seem more prominent at ~1km resolution
- How much land-atmosphere interactions benefit from increasing resolution depends partly on the relative control of atmosphere vs. land
- Large-scale atmospheric features may converge at ~50km due to the dominance of dynamics, with implications for landatmosphere interactions
- But the converged solution may or may not resemble the solution from convection permitting simulations
- Scale-aware parameterizations hold some promises for bridging the two